UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

		Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO.
		v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
		Defendant(s).	
follow		sel report that they have met and confoulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 at	erred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The pa	ırties ag	gree to participate in the following AI	OR process:
apprec ADR _I	□ □ □ Parties viably n viable cohone co	nore likely to meet their needs than an	ADR L.R. 5) conference with a Magistrate Judge is my other form of ADR, must participate in an They must instead file a Notice of Need for and ADR L.R. 3-5)
The pa	rties ag	gree to hold the ADR session by:	
		•	line is 90 days from the date of the order ss unless otherwise ordered.)
		other requested deadline	
Dated:			
			Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated:			Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Purs	uant to the Stipulation above, the cap	otioned matter is hereby referred	to:
	Non-binding Arbitration		
	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)		
	Mediation		
	Private ADR		
Dead	lline for ADR session		
	90 days from the date of this orde	r.	
	other		
IT IS SO OF	CDERED.		
Dated:			
		UNITED STATES	JUDGE